	OIPE
PAT	JUN 0 6 2003- \$
E.	RADEMARKCA

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Docket No.
RGV-003
RECEIVED

Application No.Filing DateExaminerArt Unit09/712821November 13, 2000Ponnaluri, Padmashri1639

JUN 1:1 2003

Applicant(s): Todd M. Kinsella

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Invention: CONS

METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR SCREENING USING DIPHTHERIA TOXIN

CONSTRUCTS

# TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment and Response to the Office Action (8 pages) in the above-identified application, including a return receipt postcard.

The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.

	CLAIMS AS AMENDED							
	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid	Number Extra Claims Present	Rate				
Total Claims	50	- 50 =		х	0.00			
Independent Claims	5	- 7 =	_	х	0.00			
Multiple Depend	ent Claims (che	eck if applicabl	e)					
Other fee (pleas	e specify):							
TOTAL ADDIT	ONAL FEE FO	OR THIS AME	NDMENT:		0.00			
x Large Entity				Small Entity	1			
X No additiona	l fee is require	d for this amer	ndment.					
A duplicate of		et is enclosed	l. to cover	n the amount of \$				
X The Director as described  X Credit ar	l below. A dup ny overpaymen	orized to char licate copy of t.	ge and credit this sheet is e		No. <u>12-0080</u> 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17			
Megan E/Willia Attorney Reg. N	lo.: 43,270		_	Dated:	June 6, 2003			
LAHIVE & COC 28 State Street Boston, Massac (617) 227-7400	chusetts 02109	9						

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV 355 388 146 US, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: June 6, 2003

Signature:

(Megan E. Williams, Ph.D.)

JUN 0 6 2003

hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Abostal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV 355 388 146 US, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: June 6, 2003 Signature:



JUN 1/1 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2900 Docket No.: RGV-003

(PATENT)

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Todd M. Kinsella

Application No.: 09/712,821

Filed: November 13, 2000

For: Methods and Compositions for Screening Using

Diphtheria Toxin Constructs

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Group Art Unit: 1639

Examiner: Ponnaluri, Padmashri

# AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated May 6, 2003 (Paper No. 18).

#### **REMARKS**

Claims 13-45 remain pending and are presently under examination. For the Examiner's convenience, the pending claims are set forth in Appendix A.

The Examiner is of the opinion that "[t]he reply filed on 2/5/03, paper number 17, is not fully responsive to the prior Office action." In particular, the Examiner has stated that

Applicants in the response to the election of species stated the '2a site and the IRES site are both species of sites which allow for separation of the two selection genes'. And applicants have elected '2a site' as the site for separation of two selection genes. However, the elected independent claim 21 recites that the vector comprises IRES site, which is not the elected species. None of the elected group II claims read on the elected species.

Applicants respectfully request that the previous species election of the 2a site which was made in the previous Response (Paper No. 17), be vacated. Applicants hereby elect, under 35 U.S.C. 121, the species of *CD9* for search purposes only. Based on the new species election of CD9, as set forth herein, it is Applicants' understanding that they have been fully responsive to the Restriction Requirement.

### The Examiner is also of the opinion that

Applicants have elected GFP as the species of additional selection gene. However, the elected claims recite that the vector comprises first and second selection gene, and the first or second selection gene is HBEGF gene. Amended claim 22 recites that the vector further comprises an additional selection gene. That means the vectors according to claim 22 has 3 selection genes. Applicants have elected GFP as a selection gene, and HBEGF is the other selection gene, it is not clear whether the 3<sup>rd</sup> selection gene is present, and if present what is the location of the 3<sup>rd</sup> selection gene, and what would read on the 3<sup>rd</sup> selection gene.

Applicants respectfully submit that claim 21 is directed to an expression vector comprising a first and a second selection gene which are fused such that transcription from a promoter operably linked to the first selection gene results in a single transcript encoding the first and second selection genes and further comprising an IRES site interposed between the first and second selection genes. The first or second selection gene is an HBEGF gene. Claim 22 is directed to the vector of claim 21, further comprising an additional selection gene, *e.g.*, a third selection gene. Applicants respectfully submit that it is clear that a third selection gene is present in the embodiment of claim 22.

Applicants' specification at page 7, line 27, defines a selection gene, or reporter gene, as "a gene that by its presence in a cell (*i.e.* upon expression) can allow the cell to be distinguished into from a cell that does not contain the reporter gene. Reporter genes can be classified into several different types, including detection genes, survival genes, death genes and cell cycle genes." Moreover, at page 22, lines 24-29, Applicants' specification teaches that suitable selection genes include, but are not limited to "neomycin, blastocidin, bleomycin, puromycin, and hygtomycin resistance genes, as well as self-fluorescent markers such as green fluorescent protein, enzymatic markers such as lacZ, and surface proteins such as CD8." For purposes of being responsive to the previous Office Action (Paper No. 16), Applicants have elected, under 35

Application No.: 09/712,821 Group Art Unit: 1639

U.S.C. 121, *GFP* as the species of additional selection gene. However, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims should not be limited to the use of only two selection genes. Applicants' specification describes the use of more than two selection genes in the constructs of the claimed invention. For example, at page 14, lines 15-18, Applicants' specification teaches that "[i]n addition to the promoter of interest, such as an IL-4 inducible ε promoter and reporter gene, the fusion nucleic acids may comprise additional components, including, but not limited to, other reporter genes, protein cleavage sites, internal ribosome entry sites (IRES)...". Furthermore, Figure 18 of Applicants' specification clearly depicts a variety of constructs, including constructs comprising three selection genes, e.g., HBEGF, GFP and puromycin (see Figure 18 D, for example). Moreover, dependent claim 32 indicates that the additional selection gene may be a drug resistance gene conferring resistance to drugs selected from the group consisting of puromycin, neomycin, blastocidin, bleomyhcin, and hygromycin.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth above, Applicant's respectfully submit that it is clear that there may be a third selection gene present in a construct of the invention as claimed, e.g., in claim 22. Moreover, as set forth above, Applicants specification teaches possible locations of a third selection gene and exemplary species of third selection genes. Applicants' respectfully submit that the claims should not be limited to constructs comprising one or two selection genes based on the teachings of Applicants' specification. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the statement that the previous Response (Paper No. 17) was not fully responsive, and, if necessary, issue a second Restriction Requirement with an amended species election requirement to clarify the record regarding the species of selection genes.

# **CONCLUSION**

In view of the amendments set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. If there are any remaining issues or the Examiner believes that a telephone conversation with Applicants' Attorney would be helpful in expediting prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (617) 227-7400.

Dated: June 6, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Megan E. Williams Registration No.: 43,270

LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP

28 State Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02109

(617) 227-7400 (617) 742-4214 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicants